

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/752,938 01/06/2004 Jeffrey Gabbay 082799-000000US 5796 EXAMINER 20350 01/18/2006 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP STITZEL, DAVID PAUL TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **EIGHTH FLOOR** SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 1616

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		ation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		2,938	GABBAY, JEFFREY		
		ner	Art Unit		
		P. Stitzel, Esq.	1616		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 Responsive to communication(This action is FINAL. Since this application is in concolored in accordance with the property of the prope	2b)⊡ This action i lition for allowance exce	ept for formal matters, pr		merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected 8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to res Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on is	is/are withdrawn from to. striction and/or election by the Examiner.	requirement.	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	152)	

Examiner: David P. Stitzel, Esq.

OFFICIAL ACTION

Restriction/Election

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-3 are drawn to a method of making a hydrophilic polymeric material, classified in class 148, subclass 251.
- II. Claims 4-7 and 18-19 are drawn to a hydrophilic polymeric material, classified in class424, subclass 630.
- III. Claim 8 is drawn to a nipple device formed from a hydrophilic polymeric material, classified in class 222, subclass 490.
- IV. Claims 9 and 10 are drawn to a bag device formed from a hydrophilic polymeric material, classified in class 427, subclass 2.3.
- V. Claims 11-13 are drawn to a tube device formed from a hydrophilic polymeric material, classified in class 427, subclass 2.3.
- VI. Claim 14 is drawn to a condom device formed from a hydrophilic polymeric material, classified in class 427, subclass 2.3.
- VII Claim 15 is drawn to a diaphragm device formed from a hydrophilic polymeric material, classified in class 427, subclass 2.3.
- VIII. Claims 16 and 17 are drawn to a glove device formed from a hydrophilic polymeric material, classified in class 427, subclass 2.3.

Inventions I and II are related as a method of making a product and said product. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown that: (1) the method of making the product as claimed can be used to make a materially different product; or (2) the

Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

product as claimed can be made by another method that is materially different from the instantly claimed method of making said product. See MPEP § 806.05(f). In the instant case, a product as claimed in Invention II can be made by a materially different method from the method claimed in Invention I. For example, as opposed to a method of making a hydrophilic polymeric material containing cupric ion (Cu⁺⁺) and cuprous ion (Cu⁺), wherein said method comprises: preparing a hydrophilic polymeric slurry; dispersing an ionic copper powder mixture containing cupric ion (Cu⁺⁺) and cuprous ion (Cu⁺) in said hydrophilic polymeric slurry; and extruding said hydrophilic polymeric slurry to form said hydrophilic polymeric material containing cupric ion (Cu⁺), as claimed in Invention I; alternatively, a dry process may be used to make said hydrophilic polymeric material containing cupric ion (Cu⁺⁺) and cuprous ion (Cu⁺), wherein said process comprises: providing a polymerizable hydrophilic monomer and an ionic copper compound, which contains cupric ion (Cu⁺⁺) and/or cuprous ion (Cu⁺), onto a support area; and heat polymerizing said polymerizable hydrophilic monomer in the presence of said ionic copper compound to form said hydrophilic polymeric material containing cupric ion (Cu⁺⁺) and/or cuprous ion (Cu⁺).

Invention I is unrelated to Inventions III-VIII. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects. See MPEP §§ 806.04 and 808.01. In the instant case, the method as claimed in Invention I has an effect of yielding a hydrophilic polymeric material containing cupric ion (Cu⁺⁺) and cuprous ion (Cu⁺), whereas the devices claimed in Inventions III-VIII have an effect of inactivating a virus brought into contact therewith. As a result, the method as claimed in Invention I has a materially different effect from the devices claimed in Inventions III-VIII and are therefore unrelated.

Art Unit: 1616 Examiner: David P. Stitzel, Esq.

Invention II is unrelated to Inventions III-VIII. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects. See MPEP §§ 806.04 and 808.01. In the instant case, the product comprising a hydrophilic polymeric material containing cupric ion (Cu⁺⁺) and cuprous ion (Cu⁺), as claimed in Invention I, may constitute a light-sensitive recording material having an effect of forming a latent image, whereas the devices claimed in Inventions III-VIII have an effect of inactivating a virus brought into contact therewith. As a result, the product as claimed in Invention II has a materially different effect from the devices claimed in Inventions III-VIII and are therefore unrelated.

Inventions III-VIII are unrelated, each with respect to the others. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects. See MPEP §§ 806.04 and 808.01. In the instant case, each of the respective devices, as claimed in Inventions III (nipple device), IV (bag device), V (tube device), VI (condom device), VII (diaphragm device), and VIII (glove device), are not disclosed as capable of being used together, and each of the aforementioned respective devices have different modes of operation. As a result, each of the respective devices, as claimed in Inventions III-VIII, are not disclosed as being capable of being used together, as well as have different modes of operation, and are therefore unrelated.

Because these inventions are independent and distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the prior art search required for each respective invention would be divergent, thereby causing an undue search burden. As a result, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Applicant is therefore required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single invention for prosecution on the merits.

Page 5

Art Unit: 1616

The Examiner has required restriction between product, methods of making and device claims.

Where Applicant elects claims directed to a product, and the product claim is subsequently found

Conclusion to Restriction Requirement

allowable, withdrawn methods of making claims that depend from or otherwise include all the

limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP

§ 821.04. Methods of making claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the

patentable product claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to

final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the

rejoined methods of making claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined methods of making claims will

be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the

rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §§ 101,

102, 103 and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction

requirement between product claims and methods of making claims may be maintained. Withdrawn

methods of making claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not

be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re

Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the

right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the methods of making

claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or

to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the

right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. §

Application/Control Number: 10/752,938

Examiner: David P. Stitzel, Esq.

Page 6

Art Unit: 1616

121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the Examiner before the patent

issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Due to the complex nature of the instant restriction requirement, a written restriction

requirement was necessitated. See MPEP § 812.01.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner

should be directed to David P. Stitzel, Esq. whose telephone number is 571-272-8508. The Examiner

can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, from 7:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor.

Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached at 571-272-0629. The central fax number for the USPTO is

571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published patent applications may be

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished patent

applications is only available through Private PAIR. For more information about the PAIR system.

please see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions about acquiring access to the Private

PAIR system, please contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David P. Stitzel, Esq.

SUPERVISORY PAYENT EXAMINER